

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,503	03/10/2004		Glen R. Fox	TI-37151	4009	
23494	7590	08/04/2006		EXAMINER		
		ENTS INCORPOR	SEFER, AHMED N			
P O BOX 65 DALLAS, 7				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2826		
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/797,503	FOX ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	A. Sefer	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendation of the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal the William of Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply page by filed within one of the following time periods: a) A reperiod for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowater) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	educing or simplifying					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amondm	ont cancoling				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.4.6.8-10.12.14.15.17.18.20.22-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ w vided below or appended.	-	_				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the complete of the	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:							

Continuation of 11. The arguments are not pesuasive since Moise's disclosure (see pars. 28, 45 and 47) anticipates claims 1 and 15. See also MPEP 2131.03. Although it is stated that the phrase "70 % or less" was removed from claims 1 and 15 (see page 6), it should read, the phrase "90 % or less" was removed from claims 1 and 15.